

B-8



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,657	04/16/2001	Nathalie Garcon	B 45158	2235

7590 09/10/2004

ANDREA V. LOCKENOUR
GLAXOSMITHKLINE
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - UW2220
P.O. BOX 1539
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939

EXAMINER

LUCAS, ZACHARIAH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/807,657	GARCON, NATHALIE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Andrea Lockenour.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 Na

Claims discussed:
 93-103

Prior art documents discussed:
 NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JAMES HOUSEL
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

James C. House

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance if the application (as presented in the After-Final amendment of August 20, 2004) were amended as follows:

- 1) if the phrase "wherein said vaccine composition does not comprise TRAP" was deleted from claims 93-103.
- 2) if a sentence referring to adjuvant compositions comprising an immunostimulat that is not a saponin was inserted into the specificaion.

The Applicant did not find these amendments acceptable. .